

Make sure you have mastered all the ideas contained in exercises from HW7 and HW8.

Exercise 16.1.

- Derive in $_IntH$: $((B \rightarrow A) \rightarrow A) \rightarrow A \vdash B \rightarrow A$. Feel free to use the Deduction Theorem.
- Internalize the deduction from (a) as a typed combinatory term. Feel free to use emulated λ -abstraction. There is no need to unfold it back to the standard combinatory format, unless you have to.
- Check whether the combinatory term from (b) is normal.

Exercise 16.2. Find a combinator $\mathbf{2}$ such that $\mathbf{2}FA = F(FA)$ for all combinators F and A . If you use λ -abstraction, convert the result into a purely combinatory form. Is $\mathbf{2}$ a normal form?

Exercise 16.3. Write completely a λ -term whose normal form is the Church numeral $\overline{100}$.

Exercise 16.4. Prove that all the inclusions are strict: $\mathbf{K} \subset \mathbf{K4} \subset \mathbf{S4} \subset \mathbf{S5}$. In practical terms it suffices to show that

- $$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{K} &\not\vdash \Box p \rightarrow \Box \Box p, \\ \mathbf{K4} &\not\vdash \Box p \rightarrow p, \\ \mathbf{S4} &\not\vdash \neg \Box p \rightarrow \Box \neg \Box p. \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 16.5. Which of the following is provable in $\mathbf{S4}$? in $\mathbf{S5}$?

- $\Box(p \vee \neg p)$
- $\Box p \vee \Box \neg p$
- $\Box p \vee \neg \Box p$
- $\Box p \vee \Box \neg \Box p$
- $(\Box A \rightarrow \Box B) \rightarrow \Box(A \rightarrow B)$
- $\Box(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow \Box(\Box A \rightarrow \Box B)$
- $\Box(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow \Box B)$
- $(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (\Box A \rightarrow \Box B)$

Exercise 16.6. Show that $\mathbf{S5} = \mathbf{S4} + \Box(A \rightarrow \neg \Box B) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow \Box \neg \Box B)$. Basically, you have to demonstrate that both

- $\mathbf{S5} \vdash \Box(A \rightarrow \neg \Box B) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow \Box \neg \Box B)$ and
- $\mathbf{S4} + \Box(A \rightarrow \neg \Box B) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow \Box \neg \Box B) \vdash \neg \Box A \rightarrow \Box \neg \Box A$.

Exercise 16.7. Prove in $\mathbf{S4}$:

- $\Box A \rightarrow \Box(B \rightarrow A)$
- $\Box A \rightarrow \Box(B \rightarrow \Box A)$
- $(\Box A \vee \Box \neg B) \rightarrow \Box(B \rightarrow \Box A)$
- $\Box \neg B \rightarrow \Box(\Box B \rightarrow A)$

Exercise 16.8. Show that the following formulas are not derivable in $\mathbf{S4}$. To achieve that find an $\mathbf{S4}$ -countermodel (i.e. reflexive and transitive countermodel Kripke) for this formula.

- $\Box(\Box A \rightarrow B) \vee \Box(\Box B \rightarrow A)$
- $\Box(\Box A \rightarrow A) \rightarrow \Box A$.
- $(\Box A \vee \neg \Box B) \rightarrow \Box(\Box B \rightarrow \Box A)$
- $A \rightarrow \Box \Diamond A$

Exercise 16.9. Which of the following is provable in **S5**? Give a proof, if any. Provide an **S5** countermodel (i.e. symmetric, reflexive and transitive countermodel Kripke) for a formula.

- a) $\Box(A \rightarrow B) \leftrightarrow (\Box A \rightarrow \Box B)$
- b) $\Box A \leftrightarrow \Box\Box A$
- c) $A \rightarrow \Box A$
- d) $(\Box A \rightarrow \Box B) \vee (\Box B \rightarrow \Box A)$
- e) $\Box(\Box A \rightarrow B) \vee \Box(\Box B \rightarrow A)$
- f) $\Box(\Box A \rightarrow A) \rightarrow \Box A$
- g) $(\Box A \rightarrow B) \vee (\Box B \rightarrow A)$
- h) $\Box(A \rightarrow B) \vee \Box(B \rightarrow A)$
- i) $A \rightarrow \Box\Diamond A$

Exercise 16.10. Find the realizations of the following **S4** theorems in **LP**

- a) $\Box A \wedge \Box B \rightarrow \Box(\Box A \wedge \Box B)$
- b) $\Box A \vee \Box B \rightarrow \Box(\Box A \vee \Box B)$

More exactly, find proof polynomials $t(x, y)$ and $s(x, y)$ such that **LP** proves

$$x:A \wedge y:B \rightarrow t(x, y):(x:A \wedge y:B),$$

$$x:A \vee y:B \rightarrow s(x, y):(x:A \vee y:B).$$

Hint. Derive in **S4** first, then try to mimic this derivation in **LP**. Use "+" to reconcile different proof terms by the same formulas, if needed. One more example: find an explicit version of $\Box A \rightarrow \Box(B \rightarrow \Box A)$.

First, we derive this formula in **S4**:

1. $\Box A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow \Box A)$, a propositional axiom;
2. $\Box(\Box A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow \Box A))$, by Necessitation, from 1;
3. $\Box(\Box A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow \Box A)) \rightarrow (\Box\Box A \rightarrow \Box(B \rightarrow \Box A))$, distributivity modal axiom;
4. $\Box\Box A \rightarrow \Box(B \rightarrow \Box A)$, by Modus Ponens, from 2,3;
5. $\Box A \rightarrow \Box\Box A$, positive introspection axiom;
6. $\Box A \rightarrow \Box(B \rightarrow \Box A)$, by propositional logic, from 4,5.

Then we emulate this derivation in **LP** using common sense and some ingenuity.

1. $x:A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow x:A)$, a propositional axiom;
2. $c:(x:A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow x:A))$, by R1;
3. $c:(x:A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow x:A)) \rightarrow (!x:x:A \rightarrow (c!x):(B \rightarrow x:A))$, application axiom A1;
4. $!x:x:A \rightarrow (c!x):(B \rightarrow x:A)$, by Modus Ponens, from 2,3;
5. $x:A \rightarrow !x:x:A$, proof checking axiom A2;
6. $x:A \rightarrow (c!x):(B \rightarrow x:A)$, by propositional logic,

Exercise 16.11. Given a derivation of $A \wedge !u : u : B$ in **LP** from hypotheses $A, u : B$, find a proof polynomial $g(x, y)$ and a derivation of $g(x, y):(A \wedge !u : u : B)$ in **LP** from hypotheses $x : A, y : u : B$.

1. A , a hypothesis
2. $u : B$, a hypothesis
3. $u : B \rightarrow !u : u : B$, a proof checking axiom of **LP**
4. $!u : u : B$, by MP from 2,3
5. $A \rightarrow (!u : u : B \rightarrow (A \wedge !u : u : B))$, a propositional axiom
6. $!u : u : B \rightarrow (A \wedge !u : u : B)$, by MP, from 1,5
7. $A \wedge !u : u : B$, by MP, from 4,6

Exercise 16.12. Given a proof in **S4** find its realization in **LP**. Feel free to use the Necessitation Rule for **LP**:

if $\mathbf{LP} \vdash F$ then $\mathbf{LP} \vdash g:F$ for some ground proof polynomial g

- a) $\Box A \rightarrow \Box(B \rightarrow A)$
 1. $A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow A)$, an axiom;

2. $\Box(A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow A))$, by necessitation;
3. $\Box A \rightarrow \Box(B \rightarrow A)$, by distribution

b) $\Box A \rightarrow \Box(B \rightarrow \Box A)$

1. $\Box A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow \Box A)$, an axiom;
2. $\Box(\Box A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow \Box A))$, by necessitation;
3. $\Box\Box A \rightarrow \Box(B \rightarrow \Box A)$, by distribution;
4. $\Box A \rightarrow \Box\Box A$, transitivity axiom;
5. $\Box A \rightarrow \Box(B \rightarrow \Box A)$, by syllogism, from 3,4

c) $(\Box A \vee \Box\neg B) \rightarrow \Box(B \rightarrow \Box A)$

1. $\Box A \rightarrow \Box(B \rightarrow \Box A)$, as above;
2. $\neg B \rightarrow (B \rightarrow \Box A)$, in propositional logic;
3. $\Box(\neg B \rightarrow (B \rightarrow \Box A))$, by necessitation;
4. $\Box\neg B \rightarrow \Box(B \rightarrow \Box A)$, by distribution;
5. $(\Box A \vee \Box\neg B) \rightarrow \Box(B \rightarrow \Box A)$, from 1,4 in propositional logic.